

Remarks and Arguments

Claims 1-4 are pending in the present application. In the Office Action of April 12, 2005 (hereafter "Office Action") the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Amendments


The Examiner rejected claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement because they recite "composition." We disagree. However, without acquiescing to the Examiner's rejections, but to further the prosecution, and hereby expressly reserving the right to prosecute the original (or similar) claims, applicants have cancelled Claim 2 and amended the claims 1, 3, and 4 to recite "solution" in place of "composition." An additional dependent claim has been added.

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that the arguments and claim amendments set forth above traverse the Examiner's rejections and, therefore, request that these grounds for rejections be withdrawn for the reasons set forth above. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone interview would aid in the prosecution of this application, the Applicants encourage the Examiner to call the undersigned collect at 617.984.0616.

Date:

July 12, 2005


Peter G. Carroll
Registration No.: 32, 837

Medlen & Carroll, LLP
101 Howard Street, Suite 350
San Francisco, California 94105
617.984.0616